UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/578,448	05/08/2006	Armanda Cinderella Nieuwkerk	NL 031308	7008	
24737 7590 03/05/2009 PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			EXAMINER		
			PRITCHETT, JOSHUA L		
DRIAKCLIFF	CLIFF MANOR, NY 10310		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2872		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/05/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/578,448	NIEUWKERK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	JOSHUA L. PRITCHETT	2872				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 Ja</u>	nuary 2009					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan		secution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Disposition of Claims	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
_	n - d					
4) Claim(s) <u>1,3-9 and 12-19</u> is/are pending in the						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	vn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1,3-9 and 12-19</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 May 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		• •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority 	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive	on No				
application from the International Bureau						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

This action is in response to Request for Continued Examination filed January 22, and Amendment filed December 17, 2008. Applicant amended claims 1 and 12.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3, 7-9, 12, 13 and 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Horsten (WO 03/079318) in view of Conner (US 7,015,991).

Regarding claims 1 and 12, Horsten teaches a mirror (2) for viewing purposes having a first plane (2) reflecting light of a first kind of polarization to a viewing side, the mirror passing light of a second kind of polarization (p. 1 lines 1-14) and being provided with a display device (11) having a liquid crystal material between two substrates and being next to the first plane (Fig. 5a) at its non-viewing side which display device during use provides light of the second kind of polarization (abstract) the mirror display device having at the non-viewing side a group comprising a further polarizing mirror (16). Horsten lacks reference to the color generating

means. Conner teaches a color generating means (55; abstract) with a polarization mirror positioned between an electro-optical layer and color generating means including a backlight (Fig. 2). Conner shows a backlight divorced from the body of the LCD to allow for enhanced color display. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the Horsten invention include the positioning of the backlight for the purpose of providing an enhanced color display.

Regarding claims 3 and 13, Horsten teaches the invention as claimed but lacks reference to a color filter. Conner teaches the use of a color filter (abstract). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the Horsten invention include a color filter as taught by Conner for the purpose of efficiently separating out unwanted wavelengths of light.

Regarding claims 7 and 17, Horsten teaches polarizing means (14).

Regarding claims 8 and 18, Horsten teaches the polarizing means at its viewing side comprises a liquid crystal layer comprising a dye (page 7 lines 1-7).

Regarding claims 9 and 19, Horsten teaches the polarizing means at its viewing side comprises a half wave retarder and a polarizer (p. 6 lines 32-34).

Claims 4-6 and 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Horsten (WO 03/079318) in view of Conner (US 7,015,991) as applied to claims 1 and 12 above further in view of Yoo (US 2004/0036672).

Horsten in combination with Conner teaches the invention as claimed but lacks reference to a color sequential backlight. Yoo teaches a color sequential backlight (Fig. 6; para. 0043).

Yoo teaches the backlight emitting narrow bands of light (para. 0048). Yoo teaches the bands of light having a bandwidth of 20nm (Fig. 6). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the Horsten in combination with Conner invention include a color sequential backlight as taught by Yoo for the purpose of increasing the observed light intensity.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see Amendment, filed December 17, 2008, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1 and 12 under Horsten have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Conner. Applicant amended the claim language to overcome the Horsten reference. The Conner reference was added to teach the newly amended claim language.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JOSHUA L. PRITCHETT whose telephone number is (571)272-2318. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:00 - 3:30.

Application/Control Number: 10/578,448 Page 5

Art Unit: 2872

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephone B. Allen can be reached on 571-272-2434. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Joshua L Pritchett/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 2872